Percentage of conviction

†3745. SHRI KAPIL SIBAL:

SHRI RAJIV RANJAN SINGH 'LALAN':

Will the Minister of LAW, JUSTICE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS be pleased to state :

(a) whether it is a fact that percentage of persons convicted by courts is 6.8 per cent;

(b) whether rest of the 93.2 per cent of the cases, the charge-sheeted persons are acquitted by the courts; and

(c) if not, Government's information in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF LAW, JUSTICE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS (SHRI ARUN JAITLEY):

(a) to (c) The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.

Time limit for disposal of pending cases

†3746. SHRI RAJIV RANJAN SINGH 'LALAN':
SHRI RAM JETHMALANI:

Will the Minister of LAW, JUSTICE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS be pleased to state :

(a) whether it is a fact that time-limit has been fixed for the disposal of casses pending in different courts of the country;

(b) if so, the details of the time-limit and the number of cases targeted to be disposed annually; and

(c) the break-up of minimum and maximum number of cases in different States during March, 2001?

THE MINISTER OF LAW, JUSTICE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS (SHRI ARUN JAITELY):

(a) and (b) Both Government and judiciary are concerned with mounting back log of casses.

The Government have taken steps to fix time-limit at various stages of cases on recommendations of expert bodies like the Law Commission, Malimath Committee etc. The Code of Civil Procedure (Amendment) Act, 1999, the Code

---

††Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.

of Civil Procedure (Amendment) Bill, 2000 and the Criminal Procedure Code (Amendment Bill), 1994, *inter alia*, contain provisions for cutting short the delays and for fixing a definite time frame at various stages of civil and criminal proceedings.

No time-limit can be fixed by Government for the disposal of cases by courts as it relates to the substantive functions of the judiciary.

However, as per the recommendation of the Eleventh Finance Commission regarding Fast Track Court, each Fast Track Court is expected to dispose of 14 sessions cases or 20—25 other criminal/civil cases per month.

The Higher Judiciary from time to time fixes time-limit and the targets for disposal of cases. Chief Justice of India in his letters dated 22nd December, 1998 and 20th May, 2000 requested the Chief Justices of all the High Courts to initiate immediate steps for early disposal of cases which have been pending for more than 7 years pending in District/Subordinate Courts.

(c) the available information as regards cases pending for minimum and maximum period State-wise is given in the Statement. (*See* below) As per the Statement, the minimum number of cases are pending in the State of Sikkim (659) whereas the maximum number of cases are pending in the State of Uttar Pradesh (34, 21, 082).

Statement

Age-wise pendency of cases in the district/subordinate courts (State-wise)

Sl. No.	Name of the States/UTs	Less than six months old	Over ten years old	Total pendency	As on
1.	Andhra Pradesh	241987	7177	891621	6/2000
2.	Arunachal Pradesh	861	0	1800	6/1999
3.	Assam	255	32	799	12/1999
4.	Bihar	171248	59449	1277396	6/2000
5.	Goa	7844	2857	38485	12/2000
6.	Gujarat	389642	97822	3203867	6/2000
7.	Haryana	99453	1880	494801	12/1998
8.	Himachal Pradesh	31159	351	142829	6/2000
9.	Jammu & Kashmir	52957	3827	121915	12/1998
10.	Karnataka	208666	28132	1064886	6/2000
11.	Kerala	204054	2825	628509	6/2000

†Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.

Sl. No.	Name of the States/UTs	Less than six months old	Over ten years old	Total pendency	As on
12.	Madhya Pradesh	270843	66219	1342275	6/2000
13.	Maharashtra	418063	217257	2730069	6/2000
14.	Manipur	1558	431	8138	12/1999
15.	Meghalaya	3905	1658	12883	12/1999
16.	Mizoram	1094	2	1944	6/2000
17.	Nagaland	——NOT VAILABLE——			
18.	Orissa	95660	10187	676822	6/2000
19.	Punjab	108303	4142	375212	12/1998
20.	Rajasthan	114656	49468	848548	6/2000
21.	Sikkim	464	0	659	12/1999
22.	Tamil Nadu	97035	5182	305889	12/1999
23.	Tripura	6203	335	18920	6/2000
24.	Uttar Pradesh	644036	158532	3421082	12/1999
25.	West Bengal	223526	95555	1305855	12/1998
26.	And. & Nicobar	127	3	608	6/1999
27.	Chandigarh	17217	79	50043	12/1998
28.	Dadra & N. Haveli	345	7	1564	6/2000
29.	Daman & Diu	215	16	1338	6/2000
30.	Delhi	141205	15571	545966	12/2000
31.	Lakshdweep	188	4	280	6/2000
32.	Pondicherry	8215	345	12985	6/2000

Violation of Companies Act, 1956 by certain companies

3747. SHRI RAJU PARMAR :

SHRI BHAGAT RAM MANHAR :

Will the Minister of LAW, JUSTICE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS be pleased to state :

(a) whether Government have proposed prosecution against M/s Spartan Investments Ltd., Synergic International Ltd., Shrishna Laboratories Ltd. And Chaturvedi Investments Ltd., for violating Section 269(2) and (3)of the Companies Act, 1956;

(b) if so, details of irregularities committed by these companies; and